

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 19-1230**September Term, 2019**

EPA-84FR51310
NHTS-84FR51310

Filed On: February 4, 2020

Union of Concerned Scientists, et al.,

Petitioners

v.

National Highway Traffic Safety
Administration,

Respondent

Automotive Regulatory Council, Inc., et al.,
Intervenors

Consolidated with 19-1239, 19-1241,
19-1242, 19-1243, 19-1245, 19-1246,
19-1249

BEFORE: Henderson, Srinivasan, and Katsas, Circuit Judges

ORDER

Upon consideration of the motions to expedite, the responses thereto, and the replies; and the motions to hold in abeyance, the responses thereto, and the replies, it is

ORDERED that the motions to expedite be denied. Respondents and Respondent-Intervenors have not articulated “strongly compelling” reasons that would justify expedition of this case. D.C. Circuit Handbook of Practice and Internal Procedures 34 (2019). It is

FURTHER ORDERED that the motions to hold in abeyance be denied. It is

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 19-1230

September Term, 2019

FURTHER ORDERED, on the court's own motion, that within 30 days of the date of this order, the parties submit a proposed format for the briefing of all the issues in these consolidated cases. The parties are strongly urged to submit a joint proposal and are reminded that the court looks with extreme disfavor on repetitious submissions and will, where appropriate, require a joint brief of aligned parties with total words not to exceed the standard allotment for a single brief. Whether the parties are aligned or have disparate interests, they must provide detailed justifications for any request to file separate briefs or to exceed in the aggregate the standard word allotment. Requests to exceed the standard word allotment must specify the word allotment necessary for each issue.

Per Curiam

FOR THE COURT:

Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/

Manuel J. Castro

Deputy Clerk